

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/691,361

Applicant(s)

LOTSPIECH ET AL.

Examiner

Ellen C. Tran

Art Unit

2134

## All Participants:

(1) Ellen C. Tran.

(2) David A. Fox.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 28 September 2007

Time: 9:08

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

*The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this application. Applicant's attorney did not agree to the proposed amendment to the independent claims.*

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The Examiner recommended the independent claim 1 and 8 be changed to include limitations from the specification to overcome the prior art, no agreement was reached.*

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)